Artificial Intelligence

Blai Bonet

Universidad Simón Bolívar, Caracas, Venezuela

AND/OR search

Motivation: 12 coins

Consider the following problem:

There are 12 coins one of which is **counterfeit** with a **weight** that is different from the others. You need to determine which coin is counterfeit and whether it is heavier or lighter

You are given a **balance scale** to find the counterfeit coin and determine its relative weight in a minimum number of weights

How do you solve it? How many weights are needed?



[Image from http://exchange.smarttech.com]
© 2019 Blai Bonet

Decomposition in 12-coins problem

Previous problem is example of a **decomposition task** in which the problem needs to be decomposed into **subproblems**

Represent knowledge about coins by tuple (s, ls, hs, u) where:

-s + ls + hs + u = 12

- -s is number of coins **known** to be of standard weight
- ls is number of coins known to be lighter or of standard weight
- -hs is number of coins known to be heavier or of standard weight
- -u is number of coins known to be of completely unknown weight

Each weigh on the balance then produces one or more outcomes

Problem contains non-deterministic actions

Decomposition in 12-coins problem

States for 12-coins of the form (s, ls, hs, u)

Initial state (0, 0, 0, 12) reflects **complete ignorance** on the coins

Action that puts 4 unknown coins on each plate may produce:

- (8,0,0,4) if the plates perfectly level on the balance
- (4,4,4,0) if the plates don't level on the balance

The solution is a **strategy** that tells how to weigh the coins for each possible outcome of the actions

The 12-coins problems can be solved with 3 weighs!

© 2019 Blai Bonet

Intuition for AND/OR graphs

Depending on the task, nodes in AND/OR graphs may represent:

- Subproblems to be solved
- Current state of the model
- Knowledge about current state

AND/OR graphs are used to represent problems in which tasks can be decomposed into different substasks on problems in which actions may have **non-deterministic effects**

Solution form

Solutions for AND/OR models are strategies rather than linear sequences of actions

Strategies can be compared on different grounds (optimality criteria is not unique)

Model for 12-coins is $\ensuremath{\text{acyclic}}$ but there are AND/OR problems with $\ensuremath{\text{cyclic}}$ state spaces

Different solution concepts define the set of valid solutions

© 2019 Blai Bonet

General AND/OR model

Formally, an AND/OR graph is a directed hypegraph

Each edge has a source vertex and $k \geq 1$ destination vertices; edges are called k-connectors

If all edges are 1-connectors, the AND/OR graph is a regular graph

Each k-connector $C = (n_0, \{n_1, \dots, n_k\})$ has cost cost(C). We say:

- n_0 is a parent of each n_i
- each n_i is a child of n_0
- ${\it C}$ leaves n_0 and enters each n_i

General AND/OR model

Vertices without children are **terminal vertices** and without parents **root vertices**

If every vertex has at most one parent and there is just one root, the graph is an AND/OR tree

If there is no sequence of vertices (n_0, n_1, \ldots, n_k) such that n_i is parent of n_{i+1} , $0 \le i < k$, and $n_0 = n_k$, the graph is acyclic

© 2019 Blai Bonet

Example of AND/OR model

- Vertices $V = \{n_0, n_1, \dots, n_8\}$
- Terminals $T = \{n_7, n_8\}$
- Edges: $E = \{(n_0, \{n_1\}), (n_0, \{n_4, n_5\}), (n_1, \{n_2\}), (n_1, \{n_3\}), (n_2, \{n_3\}), (n_2, \{n_4, n_5\}), (n_3, \{n_5, n_6\}), (n_4, \{n_5\}), (n_4, \{n_8\}), (n_5, \{n_7, n_8\}), (n_6, \{n_7, n_8\})\}$



General AND/OR model

Formally, and AND/OR graph is tuple $(V, E, T, n_0, cost)$ where:

- V is a set of vertices
- ${\boldsymbol E}$ is a set of connectors
- $T\subseteq V$ is a set of terminal vertices
- $n_0 \in V$ is an initial vertex
- $cost: T \cup E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the cost function

© 2019 Blai Bonet

Solutions

- Let $G = (V, E, T, n_0, cost)$ be AND/OR model
- A solution for vertex n is subgraph S = (V', E', T', n, cost'):
- $V' \subseteq V$, $E' \subseteq E$, and cost' is cost restricted to $T' \cup E'$
- each terminal vertex in S belongs to T (i.e. $T' \subseteq T$)
- for each n in $V' \setminus T$, there is exactly one connector in E' that leaves n
- A solution for G is a solution for vertex n_0

Remark: if all connectors are 1-connectors, solution S is a path in G from vertex n to some vertex in T

© 2019 Blai Bonet







Costs for acyclic solutions Let $G = (V, E, T, n_0, cost)$ be AND/OR model Let S = (V', E', T', n, cost') be acyclic solution for vertex nWe define cost(n', S) for $n' \in V'$ inductively: - for terminal vertices $n' \in T'$: cost(n', S) = cost'(n')- for non-terminal vertices $n' \in V' \setminus T'$: $cost(n', S) = cost'(C) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} cost(n_i, S)$ where $C = (n', \{n_1, \dots, n_k\})$ is unique connector in E' leaving n'Finally, cost(S) is defined as cost(n, S)

AO* algorithm

AO* is a best-first algorithm for finding ${\it optimal}$ solutions in ${\it implicit}$ and ${\it acyclic}$ AND/OR graphs

AO* maintains the best **partial solution** seen so far until it becomes a complete solution

Like A*, AO* constructs an explicit graph as the implicit graph is explored; the explicit graph is called the "explicated graph"

AO* uses **heuristic** h that is assumed to be admissible and consistent:

- for every terminal vertex $n \in T$, h(n) = cost(n)
- for every non-terminal vertex $n \in V \setminus T$, and every connector $C = (n, \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\})$ that leaves n:

$$h(n) \leq cost(C) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} h(n_i)$$

© 2019 Blai Bonet

Revise cost in AO*

Consider vertex m in R such that m has **no descendant** in R

To revise cost of vertex m:

- If \boldsymbol{m} is terminal, marked it as SOLVED and terminate
- For each connector $C = (m, \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\})$ that leaves m, compute $q(C) = cost(C) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} q(n_i)$. (The values $q(n_i)$ were computed in this interation (of outer loop) or previous iteration of this loop)
- Select connector C^* with minimum q-value. Assign $q(m)=q(C^*).$ Mark connector C^* and erase marks on any other connector leaving m
- If all vertices "entered" by C^\ast are SOLVED, mark m as SOLVED
- If no connector leaves $m_{\rm r}$ assign q(m) a very high cost denoting that no solution exists below m

AO*: pseudocode

- 1. Make explicit graph GE with only n_0 ; associate cost $q(n_0) = h(n_0)$
- 2. While n_0 is not marked as SOLVED do:
- 2.1 Traverse best partial solution S in GE by following marked connectors at each vertex. (Connectors get marked below)
- 2.2 Select vertex n in S that is leaf (tip) and isn't SOLVED
- 2.3 Expand n. Add all successors n' to GE. For each child n', associate cost q(n') = h(n') and marked as SOLVED if n' is terminal
- 2.4 Make set $R = \{n\}$ of vertices to revise
- 2.5 While $R \neq \emptyset$ do:
- 2.5.1 Select (and remove) vertex $m \in R$ that has no descendant in R. (It can be done since graph is acyclic)
- 2.5.2 **Revise cost** q(m) associated with m (see next slide)
- 2.5.3 If m is marked as SOLVED or its cost q(m) changes, add to R all parents of m through marked connectors

© 2019 Blai Bonet

Example of AO*

Consider previous example and let cost of k-connector be k

Use heuristic h given by:

$$-h(n_0) = 0$$

$$-h(n_1) = 2$$

$$-h(n_2) = h(n_3) = 4$$

$$-h(n_4) = h(n_5) = 1$$

$$-h(n_6) = 2$$

$$-h(n_7) = h(n_8) = 0$$

Terminal costs equal to 0

© 2019 Blai Bonet









